

Derived Satake equivalence for Godement–Jacquet monoids

Jonathan Wang (joint w/ Tsao-Hsien Chen)

Perimeter Institute

Purdue Automorphic Forms and Representation Theory Seminar
Nov. 4, 2021

Outline

- 1 Overview of main result
- 2 Connection to Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh
- 3 Connection to Braverman–Kazhdan
- 4 Fourier transform
- 5 Invariant theory

Overview

- $F = \mathbb{F}_q((t))$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$.
- $M_n = n \times n$ matrices
- Godement–Jacquet construct local standard L -function by spectrally decomposing $\mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$
- Useful to study geometrization of $\mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$.
- Functions–sheaves analogy: $\mathcal{S}(M_n(F)) \rightsquigarrow D_c^!(M_n(F))$
- Unramified situation: $D_c^!(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \backslash M_n(F) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}))$
- Idea: Plancherel density $\|\Phi\|_\pi^2$ for $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$ has to do with derived Hom

From now on, $F = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q((t))$, $\mathcal{O} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[[t]]$, $V = \text{std} \in \text{Rep}(\text{GL}_n)$.

Theorem (Tsao-Hsien Chen–W)

There exists an equivalence of categories

$$D_c^!(\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \text{M}_n(F) / \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})) \cong \text{Perf}(\mathfrak{gl}_n^*[2] \times V[2] \times V^*)^{\text{GL}_n}$$

where RHS means perfect complexes of GL_n -equivariant $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{gl}_n[-2] \times V^[-2] \times V)$ -modules.*

Note: $\text{Perf}(\mathfrak{gl}_n^* \times V \times V^*)^{\text{GL}_n} = \text{Perf}(T^*(\text{GL}_n \times V))^{\text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_n}$

Connection to Ben-Zvi–Sakellaridis–Venkatesh

- $G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n = \check{G}$

$$\boxed{T^*M_n \circlearrowleft G} \rightsquigarrow \boxed{T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V) \circlearrowleft \check{G}}$$

is a special case of **duality** between affine Hamiltonian varieties.

- More generally, if X is a **smooth** affine **spherical** variety, then can define $\check{G}_X \subset \check{G}$ and $V_X \in \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}_X)$.

Conjecture (BZSV)

Let X as above. Then $M^\vee := \check{G} \times^{\check{G}_X} V_X$ is Hamiltonian, and we have equivalence of categories

$$D_c^!(X(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) \cong \mathrm{Perf}^{\square}(M^\vee)^{\check{G}}$$

$$T^*X \leftrightarrow M^\vee$$

Godement–Jacquet:

$$\boxed{T^*M_n \circlearrowleft G} \longleftrightarrow \boxed{T^*(GL_n \times V) \circlearrowleft \check{G}}$$

Can **swap**!

$$\boxed{T^*(GL_n \times V) \circlearrowleft G} \longleftrightarrow \boxed{T^*M_n \circlearrowleft \check{G}}$$

Rankin–Selberg convolution: some integral involving

$$GL_n \times V = \overline{\text{Mir} \setminus GL_n \times GL_n}$$

produces L -function for representation $V \otimes V \in \text{Rep}(GL_n \times GL_n)$.

Connection to Braverman–Kazhdan

- Let “det” : $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\rho \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$.
- Can combinatorially define an algebraic monoid M_ρ with group of units G
- $\text{Perv}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(M_\rho(F)^\bullet)$ gives $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))^{G(\mathcal{O}) \times G(\mathcal{O})} \subset C^\infty(G(F))$ by Frobenius trace

Conjecture (Braverman–Kazhdan)

There exists $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ such that zeta integrals give $L(s, \pi, \rho)$.

Conjecture

There is equivalence of abelian categories

$$\text{Perv}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(M_\rho(F)^\bullet) \cong \wedge(\rho \oplus \rho^*)\text{-mod}_{\text{fd}}^{\check{G}}$$

Derived Satake equivalence

Theorem (Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg, after Ginzburg)

For any reductive group G , there is equivalence of monoidal categories

$$\mathrm{Sph}_G^{\mathrm{loc}.c} := D_c(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F) / G(\mathcal{O})) \cong \mathrm{Perf}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^*[2])^{\check{G}}$$

Theorem (Tsao-Hsien Chen–W)

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. The equivalence

$$D_c^!(M_n(F) / G(\mathcal{O})) \cong \mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n^*[2] \times V[2] \times V^*)^{\check{G}}$$

is compatible with action of $\mathrm{Sph}_G^{\mathrm{loc}.c}$, where

- $\mathrm{Sph}_G^{\mathrm{loc}.c}$ acts on left by convolution.
- $\mathrm{Perf}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}^*[2])^{\check{G}}$ acts on right by pullback under *moment map*

$$T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^*.$$

Fourier transform

Since M_n can be thought of as a vector space, we can define Fourier transform on sheaves/functions of it.

Theorem (Tsao-Hsien Chen–W)

We have commutative diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{*c}(M_n(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi^{0,2}} & \text{Perf}(\mathfrak{gl}_n^*[2] \times V \times V^*[2])^{\text{GL}_n} \\ \text{FT} \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \text{swap} \\ D_c^!(M_n(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi^{2,0}} & \text{Perf}(\mathfrak{gl}_n^*[2] \times V[2] \times V^*)^{\text{GL}_n} \end{array}$$

where swap is pullback along $V[2] \times V^ \rightarrow V \times V^*[2] : (v, \xi) \mapsto (\xi, v)$ after identifying $V \cong V^*$ (up to Chevalley automorphism)*

We do not need the commutativity of this diagram to prove $\Phi^{2,0}$ is an equivalence!

Equivariant cohomology

Can define functors

$$R\Gamma_{G(\mathcal{O}),c}(M_n(F), -) : D_c^!(M_n(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow \text{Perf}(H^\bullet(BG))$$

$$R\Gamma_{G(\mathcal{O})}(M_n(F), -) : D_{*c}(M_n(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) \longrightarrow \text{Perf}(H^\bullet(BG))$$

$$H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^\bullet(M_n(F), \mathbb{C}) = H^\bullet(BG) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}[2]]^W$$

Kostant–Weierstraß sections

What do cohomology functors correspond to spectrally?

Fact: $T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V) // \check{G} = \mathfrak{t} // W = \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^n$ (recall $\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$)

Quotient identifies with invariant moment map

$$T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}^* // \check{G}.$$

The invariant moment map has **two** inequivalent sections

$$\kappa^{2,0} : \mathfrak{t} // W = \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n^* \times V \times V^*$$

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \mapsto \mathrm{Id}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & a_0 \\ 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ & & 1 & a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, b - a, e_n^*$$

and $\kappa^{0,2}(a, b) = (\mathrm{Id}, \kappa(a)^T, e_n, (b - a)^T)$.

The \check{G} -action on $T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V)$ extends $\kappa^{2,0}$ to **open embedding**

$$\tilde{\kappa}^{2,0} : \check{G} \times \mathfrak{t} // W \hookrightarrow T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V)$$

and similarly for $\kappa^{0,2} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\kappa}^{0,2}$.

- Image of $\tilde{\kappa}^{2,0}$ is open with complement of **codimension 1** and same for $\tilde{\kappa}^{0,2}$
- **Key Fact:** the union of two images has complement of **codimension 2**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_c^!(M_n(F)/G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{H_{G(\mathcal{O}),c}^\bullet} & \mathrm{Perf}(H^\bullet(BG)) \\ \Phi^{2,0} \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathrm{Perf}^{[?]}(T^*(\mathrm{GL}_n \times V))^{\check{G}} & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\kappa}^{2,0})^*} & \mathrm{Perf}^{[?]}(\check{G} \times \mathfrak{t} // W)^{\check{G}} \end{array}$$

and similarly $H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^\bullet(M_n(F), -)$ corresponds to $(\tilde{\kappa}^{0,2})^*$.

Thank you!